

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CORSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Santosh Kumar s/o Shri Madan Lal,  
R/o Ward No. 2-A/421 (old), New Ward No. 19,  
Janta Nagar, Hakam Seat's Street, Dhuri,  
District Sangrur-148024.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Sangrur.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.3147/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
14.06.2018	Nil	19.07.2018	Nil	14.09.2018

**Present:**        **Sh. Santosh Kumar, Appellant in person.**  
                      **Sh. Pardeep Sharda, BDPO, Dhuri – for Respondents.**

**ORDER**

The following order was made by this forum on 13.11.2018:

*“Sh. Pardeep Sharda, BDPO submits that the appellant was duly informed on 13.09.2018 against his original application dated 14.06.2018. A copy of such information stated to have been provided is not available on file.*

*The appellant denies its receipt. He further alleges backdating of some documents. The Commission takes serious view of the same. Be that as it is the respondents are advised to file a point-wise reply besides providing the admissible information to the appellant without further loss of time under intimation to the Commission in writing before the next date of hearing.”*

The case has come up today. As desired the respondents have replied to the appellant in an annotated form along with providing the copies of the documents sought for by him.

Contd....page....2

**Appeal Case No.3147/2018**

They submit that the information was attempted to be delivered at his residence but in vain. It was refused to be accepted. Nonetheless they have brought along the certified copies which have been arranged to be handed over on spot to the appellant. The appellant still expresses his dissatisfaction.

The Commission has considered the issue. A cursory glance on his application suggests that he is seeking personal information of his erstwhile colleagues which certainly has no connection with the public activity or interest. He is a retired government official who nurses some grudges against some employees during the currency of his service. The RTI is not a forum to settle personal scores. The information connected with the public activity like allotment of circles to the Panchayat Secretaries has been delivered to him. The Commission feels that he has been suitably informed and no further action is called for.

**Disposed.**

**Sd/-**

**06.12.2018**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**